



**The 2008 Annual General Meeting of the
Wrexham Football Supporters' Society Limited
Trading as the Wrexham Supporters Trust**

At The Centenary Club, Racecourse Ground, Wrexham
Thursday 12th June 2008 commencing 7:30pm

Agenda	Page
1. Approval of Minutes from 2007 AGM	1 – 9
2. Chairman's Report	
3. Treasurer's Report	
<i>Please refer to accompanying financial statements</i>	
4. Appointment of Auditors	
5. Result of Ballot for Elections to the Society Board	10
6. Voting on Resolutions proposed	11-13
7. Any Other Business	

Minutes from 2007 Annual General Meeting

Minutes of the 2007 Annual General Meeting of the Wrexham Football Supporters' Society Limited Trading as Wrexham Supporters Trust

Held at The Centenary Club, Wrexham on Thursday 7 June, 2007

1. Introductions

Bruce Clapton, Chairman, welcomed members to AGM and introduced Steve Powell, Director of Supporters Direct and member of Arsenal Supporters Trust and Paul Stockton, Chairman of Northwich Victoria Supporters Trust, who had agreed to undertake and oversee the counting of the candidate election votes and the voting on the evening's resolutions.

2. Approval of Minutes from 2006 AGM

Minutes of the 2006 AGM held 8 June 2006, were approved, proposed by Dave Davies, seconded by John Edwards.

3. Chairman's Report

It has been another difficult year for the foot-ball club as it seeks to begin its recovery from the debilitating blow dealt it by years of neglect and personal greed of some of its erstwhile owners. This has also manifested itself in an extremely difficult period for the Trust as we have tried to affect our transition from an organisation geared to campaigning to save the club into one that is capable of working with our the club to achieve our aims. However this not with-standing the Trust has continued its growth in both numbers and stature this year.

Firstly with regard board personnel, over the last year there have been a number of changes amongst those serving on the Trust board. Following the elections at last years AGM, Sally Poppit joined the board as an elected member following her term as a co-opted member. Elected members leav-ing the board for a variety of reasons were Phil Lloyd, Simon Johnson, Lindsay Jones, and our new Assembly Minister Lesley Griffiths, and we would like to thank them for their valued contribution to the Trust. Nick Stockdale and Richard Owen were both co-opted and left the board throughout this year too, and we would like to show our appreciation for their time with us.

Following last years AGM a number of resolutions were voted upon and I can give you a quick run down on some of the highs and unfortunately a bit more of the lows on these.

Despite promising initial intimations from the consortium during the take-over, the issue of obtaining board representation failed to materialise as we had envisaged. We are led to believe that this is ostensibly down to the delays in the planning aspects of the development and the new owners wishing to retain full control over the club whilst they still hold personal liabilities against the loans taken to bring us out of administration. Whilst we admit this is disappointing, and despite what you may hear to the contrary we are in no way deflected from holding this as key objective for the Trust moving forward and will continue our work towards achieving this.

As with the above, the proposed share issue has also fallen foul of the length of time the planning phase of the development is taking. The reason that the two are linked is basically down to the fact that until the planning for the development is complete then a realistic value cannot be placed upon the club and ground which would be needed to form the basis of any prospectus required for a subsequent share issue to maximise its potential.

However this notwithstanding we have been working with a specialist in this area of sporting clubs share issues along with the club and now have a good understanding of the potential scenarios, and issue options that could be implemented when the time is right, be that with the clubs current owners or as part of a consortium based deal going forward.

With those two out of the way we can move on to a success story. At the last AGM we set ourselves what we thought to be an ambitious target of raising £250,000 to be used in fulfilling the Trusts aims in obtaining equity in Wrexham Football Club. Not only did we achieve this target in such short order that we moved the bar upwards to raising £300,000 by the forthcoming AGM but it would now seem that in the last couple of days we have reached this new target too. Which I am sure you will agree is an absolutely incredible achievement.

Away from the political goals of the Trust this year we have continued with our activities in supporting the club with some of the major elements being:

- We returned the running and ownership of the club shop back into the remit of the club, after turning round what was a loss making arm into what that now actively contributes to the clubs turnover and profitability.
- We concluded a new and much improved kit deal with Vandanel for the 06/07 season.
- We provided work parties that gave the club and ground a fantastic makeover during the close season, and although at times the football made it seem somewhat differently, the Racecourse was certainly a nicer and brighter place to visit this year.

Our events team have been working extra hard this year putting on a number of extremely successful events over the last year in our push to the Target 250. Of particular note was the 'Sweet' concert at the William Aston Hall, where the 70's glam-rockers thrilled the near sell-out crowd with their past hits, in what was billed by those in attendance as definitely the 'gig of the year'.

The Player of the Season Dinner was again a big success, as was the club Open Day, which was generally regarded as being one of the best ever put on at the club. Other events in the past year have included Race Nights, Christmas Party, Quiz's, Poker Night, the balloon race and a Programme Fair, amongst others, and the team are already working hard on producing next years calendar to ensure their high standards continue.

One of the major success stories of the year was the re-introduction of the Wrexham FC yearbook. Containing match reports, player profiles and Wrexham related articles the weighty tome fast went on to become a Christmas bestseller in the local shops and after the initial print run selling out is becoming a collectors piece.

Another of our major triumphs came when the Wrexham Supporters Trust were handed the role of running the Junior Dragons in early Spring 2006. Its relaunch has been a massive success as we currently have over 600 members joined up to the Club and are presently in the process of sending out our second membership renewal. As well as giving member's discounts and newsletters we also held a very successful Christmas party in which over 200 of our members met the first team squad and were given a special Wrexham FC present, and the guys involved are already telling me that next year they are planning on taking things to a whole new level for our fans of the future.

As you will appreciate this is a tremendous amount of work undertaken and I would like to take this opportunity to thank all board and Trust members that have put in a positive contribution for the time, effort and energy they have put in to making the Trust what it is today, and for all the achievements we have together made.

4. Treasurer's Report

Mark Williams reminded members that when looking at the financial statements starting on page 8 of the accounts it is important to remember that these figures cover the year to December 2006 and not to May 2007.

Starting off with merchandise income and the first thing you will notice is that we had a higher turnover but less profit. Main reason for this is that £23k of the turnover relates to the sale of the stock back to the club and as this was at cost, it resulted in no profit made from this income.

Also, the bulk of last year's turnover was the lucrative Christmas market and we missed out on this last year after the shop operation was handed back to the club at the end of October.

Fundraising and donation income was down but over £20k of 2005 income relates to the coaches we ran to the LDV final. A full breakdown of the fundraising and donation income is analysed on page 13 and I would like to thank Pete Jones and the rest of the fundraising team in their sterling efforts in this area.

To all the people who contributed to the Year Book, in particular the three Jones' - Gavin, Pete and Lindsay – for being editors and all these efforts raised £4k for the Trust as well as producing the best selling book in Waterstones store in town during the Christmas period.

The largest event of 2006 was the Sweet concert at NEWI in October and this resulted in turnover of over £7.5k and this was closely followed by the Player of the Season Awards and Christmas Draw.

Membership fees were slightly up from 2005 and this reflects the increase in membership throughout 2006. Interest was also up and this reflects the increase in funds throughout the year.

Truthfully, there are far too many people to thank individually for playing a part in all the fundraising work undertaken but to you all, a big thank you, as your efforts is the reason why we are sitting here today with over £300k in the bank, when three years ago we had none.

On the expenditure front, the £12k donation to Wrexham AFC in Administration was the final four payments agreed as a result of the Resolution passed at the 2005 AGM.

The expenses are broken down in greater detail on page 14 and taking everything into account, we had surplus funds after taxation amounting to over £67k for the year.

Onto the balance sheet on the next page, the first item you notice is that there is no stock this year because we no longer held the stock from the club shop as at the year-end.

The increase in debtors is due to the money owed by the club for the stock and this was repaid in full in March. A break down of the cash held is in the notes at the bottom of page 10 and the creditors are analysed on page 11.

The increase in loan notes is mainly down to the Shropshire Reds and Buckley Reds taking out a £4k and £500 loan notes respectively.

Finally, membership increased by 239 throughout 2006 and this is represented by the increase in share capital on the balance sheet.

That is a walk through of the 2006 accounts and the next step is for us to file these with the Financial Services Authority.

Rolling forward from the end of 2006, membership has dropped to 868 and as previously announced the Trust now has in excess of £300k of funds available.

If you have any questions on the accounts then please fire away.

There were no questions asked and Colin Bithell thanked The Treasurer for his efforts in the past year.

5. Election of Auditors

McIntocks & Partners were again appointed as auditors. Proposed Colin Bithell, seconded by Dave Davies.

6. Result of Elections to the Society Board

Prior to results of candidate elections, Wayne Price presented the board with a letter of complaint on behalf of The Fresh Approach group of candidates under Rule 39 of the Board's Membership Policy.

Bruce Clapton, acknowledged receipt and a copy was handed to be included in the minutes. Bruce Clapton advised Wayne that the Secretary of the Board would respond once he had chance to read the document. (Letter attached see Appendix 1)

Result of the Ballot for Election to the Society Board:

Paul Stockton gave the votes received as follows: 308 votes received, 6 void

Kevin Baugh 172*
Colin Bithell 113
Wyn Griffiths 223*
Lindsay Jones 199*
David Preece 149
Wayne Price 137
Len Willett 112
Mark Williams 201*
Cllr Phillip Wynne 162*

* denotes candidates elected to Society Board

7. Voting on Resolutions Proposed

Resolution A Proposed by the Society Board:

Wrexham Supporters Trust wishes to see Wrexham Football Club owned and managed by a partnership comprising its supporters, local businessmen, and community stakeholders. In order to achieve this we will actively seek to build such a partnership, and within this partnership, research and implement appropriate recommendations on the overall ownership, structure and operation that will provide for a secure future for all aspects of the club.

Steve Powell spoke in favour of the Resolution, Colin Bithell spoke against.

Hayden Taylor proposed making an amendment to the resolution, Bruce Clapton advised that due to the constitution of the Society, resolutions could not be amended on the night, but gave the Board's assurance that an amended resolution would be placed before the members at a future general meeting.

Alan Price recommended that the constitution be changed so that the wording of resolutions could be changed on the night in future. Spencer Harris stated that he would be voting against the Resolution.

Result: For 234, Against 38, Abstained 4 – Resolution Carried

Resolution B – Proposed by the Society Board:

Whilst the Trust supports the current development, which is required to clear the club's historical debts and allow Wrexham Football Club to begin its recovery, this resolution states that this support will only remain forthcoming upon the condition that any plans/proposals put forward, include at all times, the provision for a stadium of international standard to be built on the current site.

David Preece, Board Member spoke in favour, Mark Jones, Colin Bithell, Lindsay Jones and Phil Wynne opposed.

Spenser Harris asked what is meant by international stadium? Pete Jones responded on behalf of the Board with clarification and stated that there was a plan of the Kop development on the Centenary Club wall.

Result: For 226, Against 49, Abstained 1 – Resolution Carried

Resolution C – Proposed by the Society Board:

The Trust is to set aside £300,000 for equity purchase in Wrexham FC. This may be either as part of a consortium investing in the club, or by participating in a share issue. Once the target of £300,000 is reached this amount will be ring fenced for equity purchase as detailed above. Any and all purchase of equity with these funds will be subject to approval by the Trust membership at a General Meeting.

Mark Williams, Board Treasurer, spoke in favour, Collin Bithell opposed.

Result: For 246, Against 28, Abstained 2 – Resolution Carried

Resolution D – Proposed by the Society Board:

Following the recent successful exit from administration, the Trust board would like to re-iterate its commitment to helping the clubs recovery by proposing a 50/50 split on future Trust profits, above those set out in Resolution C.

This split would be used to allow the Trust to invest a proportion of future funds into practical projects specifically aimed at helping Wrexham FC and its recovery, in line with existing Trust principles.

Pete Jones Board Member spoke in favour. Phil Wynne, Lindsay Jones and Spenser Harris spoke against.

Result: For 217, Against 58, Abstained 1 – Resolution Carried

Resolution E Proposed by the Society Board:

Members are invited to endorse the WST Board Membership Policy in support of the Trust Board, so that clear rules and guidelines are set out for all Board members to follow in carrying out their duties for the Trust.

Pete Jones spoke in favour, Lindsay Jones spoke against.

Result: For 340, Against 27, Abstained 9 – Resolution Carried

Resolution F – Proposed Lindsay Jones, seconded by Chris Hayes & 18 others:

Members of the society cannot support any proposal to separate our club from its ownership of the Racecourse Ground and the Colliers Park training facility and call upon the Board of the Society to set up a sub-committee, drawn from the membership, to explore alternatives to this scenario.

Lindsay Jones spoke in favour of Resolution, David Davies also spoke in support, Bruce Clapton spoke against. Points were also raised by Spenser Harris and Mark Currie.

Result: For 100, Against 165, Abstained 11 – Resolution Defeated

Resolution G – Proposed by Lindsay Jones, seconded by Chris Hayes & 17 others:

Members of the Society believe that the Turf Hotel is an important part of our cultural heritage and are opposed to plans to demolish this building. We call upon the Board of the WST to set up a sub-committee, drawn from the membership, to campaign for the preservation of this iconic building.

Result: For 245, Against 22, Abstained 9 – Resolution Carried

Resolution H – Proposed by Lindsay Jones seconded by Chris Hayes & 18 others:
Members of the society believe that a large measure of fans ownership of the club is its only effective long-term safeguard. As such we do not wish to place an upper limit on money raised by the WST. In support of this we call upon the board of the WST to set up a sub committee, drawn from the membership, to co-ordinate and increase the revenues of the society.

Lindsay Jones spoke in favour, Pete Jones opposed.

Result: For 267, Against 4, Abstained 5 – Resolution Carried

Resolution I – Proposed by Lindsay Jones seconded by Chris Hayes & 17 others:
In line with our constitutional obligation to protect the heritage of our club, Members of the Society are concerned that the owners of our club have changed its name from Wrexham AFC to Wrexham FC and respectfully request them to hold a public consultation on this matter to let the supporters decide whether such a change is necessary or desirable.

Lindsay Jones spoke in favour, Pete Jones opposed. Craig Jones commented upon resolution.

Result: For 69, Against 181, Abstained 26 – Resolution Defeated

Resolution J – Proposed by Lindsay Jones, seconded by Chris Hayes & 18 others:
Members of the society believe that retaining the Racecourse as a 15,000 capacity International Standard Stadium is a priority for the club and the town of Wrexham and call on the Wrexham Maelor Borough Council to ensure that this becomes a reality by ensuring that funding is in place to build the new Kop stand prior to granting detailed planning permission for the development behind the Kop.

Lindsay Jones spoke in favour, Pete Jones spoke against. Spenser Harris also spoke in favour, comments were passed by Mark Curry and Brian Cameron.

Result: For 87, Against 175, Abstained 14 – Resolution Defeated

Resolution K – Proposed by Phil Wynne, seconded by Lindsay Jones:
Members of the society call upon the board of the WST to appoint a negotiating team of professionals to handle all negotiations with the owners of the football club relating to the purchase of the club.

Phil Wynne spoke in favour, David Preece spoke against. Spenser Harris and Huw Davies also spoke in favour, with Pete Jones opposing.

Result: For 71, Against 193, Abstained 12 – Resolution Defeated

Society Chairman stated that whilst all voting results were initially taken on a count of hands, definitive final results would be advised after a count of voting slips at end of night.

Note: Results above are from the count of the voting slips and proxies.

8. Any Other Business

Tom Stanford asked whether the Trust had had any indication of John Marek's intentions regarding his investment in the club after the recent Welsh Assembly election. Bruce Clapton advised that this was a private transaction between John Marek and the club and the Trust had no knowledge of his ongoing involvement.

Craig Jones asked the Board to give a categorical assurance that they would endeavour to ensure that The Racecourse would not be bulldozed and replaced by a smaller ground. Bruce Clapton responded with an emphatic yes.

Spenser Harris said that he was disappointed by the papers relating to tonight's resolutions received from the Board prior to the meeting. He did not feel that it was right for the Board to advise members of their support or otherwise for the resolutions and should allow members to make up their own minds. Bruce Clapton advised that the resolutions were future directions to the Board and the Board were justified in stating whether they were in agreement or not.

Meeting closed 10:40 p.m.

Appendix One

To the Secretary Wrexham Supporter Trust

This letter is a follow up to Colin Bithell's telephone call to yourself on Sunday.

I am writing to you, on behalf of the candidates listed below, to register our protest regarding the manner in which the WST AGM 2007 has been handled by the Board of the Trust. This is not done lightly, but we feel that, irrespective of whatever the results of the Board elections and the resolutions, democracy in the WST has not been served well. It is also our opinion that the Board have acted without thought to Rule 39 of the WST Constitution, which states: "It is the responsibility of the Society Board, the Chair of the meeting and the Secretary to ensure that, at any general meeting:

- a) the issues to be decided are clearly explained;
- b) sufficient information is provided to members to enable rational discussion to take place;
- c) where appropriate, members of the Executive Board, experts in relevant fields or representatives of special interest groups are invited to address the meeting."

In outlining our concerns, I deal with these matters under separate headings for the sake of simplicity.

AGM Pack

The AGM pack sent out to members properly listed the Resolutions to be voted on at the AGM. However, in a departure from normal WST practice the Board has commented on all of the Resolutions, expressing the Board view on whether the membership should support or reject the proposal. Further, in an additional departure from the norm, with regards to two of those resolutions put forwards by ourselves (F and J) the Board have seen fit to explain, briefly, their reason for opposing the resolutions. In our view this action, together with the failure to allow us to put forward our reasons for the resolutions, is undemocratic and in breach of rule 39(b). It must be obvious, and as the board will be aware, it is quite possible that the number of proxy votes will exceed the number of members who attend the meeting in person. Without access to the internet those members who have sent in a proxy vote will have had no sight of a view alternative to that presented by the Board.

"Seconders" of the Resolutions

For certain of the resolutions proposed for consideration, the names of some members who seconded those proposals have been excluded. In some cases the names of twenty to thirty members are missing. The view of the Vice Chairman of the WST is that members, in effect, need not see any pre-vote show of support. This view is a departure from all previous AGM packs, which have listed ALL `seconders' to ALL resolutions proposed. In our opinion the view of the Vice Chairman is also at odds with the Board's own stance in relation to each resolution, where the Board's members, in essence, have expressed a pre-vote show of support or of opposition.

WST Newsletter

Following the issuing of the AGM pack the Board of the WST issued a newsletter to the membership of the society that outlined the Board's view on all resolutions put to the AGM. Within that newsletter the Board discuss the resolutions proposed by non-Board members (Resolutions F to K inclusive) and give reasons for supporting or opposing those resolutions. It is our contention that, in a Society purporting to be democratic, the proposer/seconders of

those resolutions should have had the opportunity of responding to the Board's concerns for the benefit of those members in receipt of that newsletter. Our request for that courtesy was refused by the Vice Chairman, on the basis that the Board may not consider that action to be in the WST's best interests. That is a view that, quite frankly, astonishes us. Surely, in relation to resolutions validly proposed and seconded, it is for the membership to decide whether they are in the WST's best interests, and that can only be done, under Rule 39, if the members are in possession of sufficient information. In our view the Board has failed to discharge its duty impartially under the WST Constitution.

Press briefings

We believe that the Board of the WST has abused its' access to the local media in relation to the AGM. Within an article in the Evening Leader on May 27th, it is our contention that the Chairman of the WST made statements in relation to the Resolutions put forward by members which have no basis in fact. There is no evidence whatsoever that any of the resolutions proposed by the membership will have any adverse effect upon the football club and it is something that we always bore in mind when formulating the Resolutions. Further, in stating within that article that the proposer/seconders of the members' Resolutions HAVE foreseen that they are a threat to the existence of the football club but have chosen to ignore such a threat is an insult to many members who worked tirelessly on behalf of both the WST and the Club when it WAS threatened with extinction.

Board/Members Resolutions

We note, with some alarm, that the Board appears to have seen fit to involve Geoff Moss in their 'campaign'. It is apparent, from the newsletter already referred to, that he was invited to consider resolutions F to K and express his view. The Board also expressed their view. Both these views were contained within the newsletter. However, the persons who actually proposed those resolutions were not asked for to provide their views on their own resolutions!

As stated our request for such an opportunity was refused, meaning that the membership were able to read criticism provided by a non member of the WST yet were barred from reading an explanation for the Resolutions from the members of the WST who had proposed them.

It must be further noted, and commented upon, that the board met to discuss the Board Resolutions on 10th May, the closing date for submissions. Further, another meeting was held by the Board to discuss those Resolutions some four days after that closing date.

It is sad to note that, in a democratic Society, the first of those meetings has not been minuted and this, in our view, is in breach of clause B4 of the Board's Membership Policy, which requires the vote taken by the Board on such matters to be included in the pack sent to WST members.

The only other alternative is that the Board has not voted on the Resolutions that it proposes, which is fanciful in the extreme. Further, by failing to comply with Clause B4 of the Policy the Board has failed to comply with the very thing it is asking the members to endorse at Resolution E!!

In short we feel that the organization and conduct of the 2007 AGM has fallen well short of the standards previously set by the WST.

Taken separately the failures of the Board to properly and fairly run the process, as we have outlined above, are bad enough. The combined effect is many times worse, with the quashing of reasoned and fair debate and the effective disenfranchisement of the members who are not in attendance at the AGM and able to hear our Resolutions explained.

The failures we have highlighted leave us fearing for the future of the WST itself. In short, we feel that in this instance the Board has failed to discharge its duties to the membership of the Society and has prejudiced the outcome of the election and the voting on the resolutions. We feel that the only equitable solution would be to adjourn the AGM and allow us to properly respond to the partiality shown by the Board in relation to these important votes.

I await your response.

Lindsay Jones
Colin Bithell
Phil Wynne
Wayne Price
Len Willett.

Result of Ballot for the Elections to the Society Board

Existing Elected Board Members:

- GRIFFITHS, Wyn
- JONES, Lindsay
- WILLIAMS, Mark

Existing Board Members not standing for re-election at the AGM:

- PURTON, Richard
- GRIFFITHS, Rob
- HEATH, Terry

Existing Board Members resigning at the AGM:

- POPPITT, Sally

The Trust Board made a minor mistake when interpreting the Trust Rules with regard to the election process, when insufficient numbers of nominations were received before the original nomination deadline, and extended the time for submission of nominations.

This resulted in an additional seven valid nominations as well as the three earlier valid ones which were received by the original deadline.

The three original ones are automatically elected onto the Trust and the other seven valid candidates will be put to an election to fill the remaining five vacant seats on the Trust Board.

The three earlier valid nominations were received from Spencer Harris, Gavin Jones and Haydn Taylor.

Candidates for Election to the Board:

- CAMERON, Brian
- DAVIES, Brian
- JONES, Bryn
- JONES, Peter
- SLEEMAN, Paul
- STOCKDALE, Nick
- WILLIAMS, Tony

Five of the seven candidates will join the existing three Board members and the three Board Members who met the first deadline to form the new Society Board.

Voting on Resolutions proposed

Resolution A:

Proposed by the Society Board

The Resolution:

The Trust is to set a target of £500,000 for equity purchase in Wrexham Football Club and to proceed with the purchase of equity subject to terms that are acceptable and approved by the Trust board and endorsed by the membership at a general meeting.

This sum of £500,000 comprises:

- £300,000 as mandated by the membership by resolution to the 2007 AGM
- An additional £30,000 to be ring fenced from current Trust funds
- Further fundraising efforts to raise an additional £170,000 to reach the target of £500,000

This resolution replaces all previous resolutions in respect of equity purchase.

Supporting Information:

The Trust board is proposing a new target of £500k for the equity fund. In previous years, when targets have been set, we have found that this has helped to really galvanise fundraising efforts. The purchase of shares in Wrexham Football Club remains a key objective for the Supporters Trust, to obtain a measure of ownership on behalf of our members, and we feel that the proposed target is a challenging one but within reach.

End of Resolution A

Additional guidance for members:

Resolutions B and C relate to the “50:50” split of profits between the Trusts equity fund, to buy shares in Wrexham FC, and projects that are in line with the Trusts objectives. This resolution was passed by members at the 2007 AGM.

- If you wish to retain the 50:50 split in its current form, you should vote against resolution B and resolution C.
- If you wish to cease the 50:50 split, but allow the board to spend a maximum of £15k per annum on projects, you should vote for resolution B.
- If you wish to cease the 50:50 split and direct all profits to the Trust's equity fund, you should vote for resolution C.

Resolution B:

Proposed by the Society Board

The Resolution:

The Trust board is to spend no more than £15,000 per annum in total on external projects unless specific approval is given by the membership through a general meeting. The £15,000 does not include internal running costs incurred by the Trust.

This resolution replaces the current “50:50” resolution that was approved at the 2007 AGM.

Supporting Information:

The Trust board is proposing that a budget of £15,000 per annum is set for expenditure on external projects that are consistent with the Trusts objectives. This would allow the board to drive or to support external projects, which could be related directly to the football club or indirectly, for example through community programmes. This proposal would replace the present "50:50 resolution" that was passed at the 2007 AGM. The board believes that £15,000 would be an appropriate sum and would seek to spend this sensibly in ways that raise the profile of the Trust within the community and demonstrate commitment to the football club. Under this resolution, the board would need to seek agreement from the membership at a general meeting to spend more than the allocated budget.

End of Resolution B

Resolution C:

Proposed by Paul Chiddicks – Membership Number 2661

Seconded by: David Jackson – Membership Number 1502

The Resolution:

In line with the WST's stated aim of raising funds to buy equity in Wrexham AFC, the 50/50 profit split should now be stopped. All profits raised by the trust are to be solely used to buy equity in Wrexham AFC. These profits raised, should have no upper limit.

Supporting Information:

During the past twelve months there has been a change of direction and position, which the trust has with the club. This has culminated with the press release and statement that Mr. Moss made publicly in April of this year. It would appear from this statement and the response from the Trust, that both sides are still some way from reaching an agreement that satisfies both parties, with regard to the purchase of equity in the Club by the Trust.

Part of the Trust constitution is to raise sufficient funds to buy a stake in the club and to have at least one Trust nominated director on the Board of Wrexham Football Club. It would appear from the current dialogue between the club and Trust, that this will not be achieved in the immediate short term.

The current 50/50 scheme that is in place may not now be seen to be appropriate by many members, as circumstances have moved on, over the past 12 months. I feel that we should now abandon the 50 / 50 split in profits, as it has become clear that equity purchase should be the aim of the trust - not the funds required for day to day management / investment in the club, this being the responsibility of the owners.

I feel that the members of the WST should, at this point in time, put building funds for purchasing equity in the club as our primary aim and cancel this funding. This enables us to send a clear message to members and prospective members regarding the aims of the WST.

End of Resolution C

Resolution D:

Proposed by Huw Davies – Membership Number 157

Seconded by Spencer Harris – Membership Number 241

The Resolution:

That the WST Board talk to all supporters groups and ask respectfully for up to 25% of all funds raised by them to be given to WST's equity fund.

Supporting Information:

The focus for all supporters should be at this stage to acquire equity as soon as possible in Wrexham F.C. This proposal is made in order to galvanise this policy of acquiring Equity by WST among all Wrexham FC supporters.

Any supporter group could give up to a quarter of the money they raise to WST's Equity fund if they want to.

Any supporter group may not agree to this and therefore they would not contribute but if their democratically representative committee agrees to it they could give up to 25% of the money they raise per annum to WST's Equity fund.

WST should give full public recognition for this if its practicable.

This resolution in no way is trying to force the issue and I make this proposal only to increase the funds of the WST equity fund as I feel its the most important thing supporters can do is to raise as much finance as possible in order to attract the attention of the Board of Wrexham FC to make a share ownership deal with WST as soon as possible.

End of Resolution D

Resolution E:

Proposed by the Society Board

The Board proposes that the Supporters Direct Election Policy is adopted for use in future elections and that the policy is reviewed by the board and modified as appropriate to meet the specific requirements of Wrexham Supporters Trust.

Supporting Information:

Following advice from Supporters Direct, the Trust's umbrella organisation, the Board proposes that an Election Policy is adopted for use in future elections. This provides clear direction to those involved in the organisation of elections on how to conduct the process using the best recommended practices. This resolution is asking members to ratify this approach and recommending that the standard supporters direct election policy is adopted and modified as appropriate to fit the specific requirements of Wrexham Supporters Trust.

End of Resolution E

END of Resolutions